

Some common fixed point theorem for two, three and four mappings in Menger spaces

Rajendra Kumar Dubey^①, Rajesh Shrivastava^②, Pankaj Tiwari^{③*}

① Govt. Science P.G. College Rewa (M.P.) India

② Inst. For Excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal (M.P.) India

③ Vidhyapeeth Inst. of Science & Technology Bhopal (M.P.) India

E-mail: pankajvist@gmail.com

Received: June-20-2014; Accepted: Aug-2-2014 *Corresponding author

Abstract The purpose of this article is to prove some common fixed point for a pair of self mappings under strict contractive and ovc condition under the concept of menger space. Also we have proved, some common fixed point theorem for quadruplet of self mappings in menger space.

Key Words Common fixed point, Weak compatibility, Menger space, Occasionally weakly compatibility

MSC 2010 47H10, 54H25

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

There have been lots of generalizations of metric space. One such generalization is Menger space in which, used distribution functions instead of nonnegative real numbers as value of metric. A Menger space is a space in which the concept of distance is considered to be a probabilistic, rather than deterministic. For detail discussion of Menger spaces and their applications we refer to Schweizer and Sklar [91]. The theory of Menger space is fundamental importance in probabilistic functional analysis.

A probabilistic metric space shortly PM-Space, is an ordered pair (X, F) consisting of a non empty set X and a mapping F from $X \times X$ to L , where L is the collection of all distribution functions (a distribution function F is non decreasing and left continuous mapping of reals in to $[0,1]$ with properties, $\inf F(x) = 0$ and $\sup F(x) = 1$). The value of F at $(u, v) \in X \times X$ is represented by $F_{u,v}$. The function $F_{u,v}$ are assumed satisfy the following conditions;

- (a) $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$, for all $x > 0$, iff $u = v$;
- (b) $F_{u,v}(0) = 0$, if $x = 0$;
- (c) $F_{u,v}(x) = F_{v,u}(x)$;
- (d) $F_{u,v}(x) = 1$ and $F_{v,w}(y) = 1$ then $F_{u,w}(x+y) = 1$.

A mapping $t : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a t -norm, if it satisfies the following conditions;

- (e) $t(a, 1) = a$ for every $a \in [0, 1]$;
- (f) $t(0, 0) = 0$,

- (g) $t(a, b) = t(b, a)$ for every $a, b \in [0, 1]$;
- (h) $t(c, d) \geq ta, b$ for $c \geq a$ and $d \geq b$
- (i) $t(t(a, b), c) = t(a, t(b, c))$ where $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

A Menger space is a triplet (X, F, t) , where (X, F) is a PM-Space, X is a non-empty set and a t -norm satisfying instead of 6.1(i) a stronger requirement.

- (j) $F_{u,w}x + y \geq tF_{u,v}x, F_{v,w}y$ for all $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$.

For a given metric space (X, d) with usual metric d , one can put $F_{u,v}(x) = H(x - d(u, v))$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$. where H is defined as: $H(x) = 1$ if $s > 0$, 0 if $s \leq 0$. and t -norm is defined as $ta, b = \min\{a, b\}$.

Examples of t -norm are $a * b = ab$ and $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$. Our aim of this paper, we have tried to present a common fixed point for a pair of self mapping under strict contractive and OWC condition under the concept of Menger space.

2 Menger Spaces

To proof of our result we need some known definitions which are follows.

Definition 2.1. A probabilistic metric space (PM- space) is an ordered pair (X, F) consisting of a non empty set X and a mapping F from $X \times X$ into the collections of all distribution $F \in R$. For $x, y \in X$ we denote the distribution function $F(x, y)$ by $F_{x,y}$ and $F_{x,y}(u)$ is the value of $F_{x,y}$ at u in R .

Definition 2.2. Self maps A and B of a Menger space $(X, F, *)$ are said to be weakly compatible (or coincidentally commuting) if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e. if $Ax = Bx$ for some $x \in X$ then $ABx = BAx$.

Definition 2.3. Self maps A and B of a Menger space $(X, F, *)$ are said to be compatible if $FABx_n, BAx_n, (t) \rightarrow 1$ for all $t > 0$, whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Ax_n \rightarrow x, Bx_n \rightarrow x$ for some x in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.4. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, F, t) is said to be convergent to a point x in X if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists an integer $N = N(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that $x_n \in Ux\epsilon, \lambda$ for all $n \geq N$ or equivalently $Fx_n, x; \epsilon > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n \geq N$.

Definition 2.5. A sequence x_n in (X, F, t) is said to be Cauchy sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there is an integer $N = N(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F(x_n, x_m, \epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n, m \geq N$.

Definition 2.6. A Menger space (X, F, t) with the continuous t -norm is said to be complete if every cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X .

Definition 2.7. Let (X, F, t) be a Menger space, two mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are said to be weakly.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (X, F, t) , where t is continuous and $tp, p \geq p$ for all $p \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in NF(x_n, x_n + 1, kp) \geq F(x_n - 1, x_n, p)$, then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. compatible if they commute at coincidence point.

Lemma 2.9. Let X be a set f, g OWC self maps of X . If f and g have a unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .

Lemma 2.10. If (X, d) is a metric space, then the metric d induces a mapping $F : X \times X \rightarrow L$ defined by $F(p, q) = Hx - dp, q, p, q \in R$. Further if $t : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined by $t(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$, then (X, F, t) is a Menger space. It is complete if (X, d) is complete.

Lemma 2.11. If for all $x, y \in X, t > 0$ with positive number $q \in (0, 1)$ and $Mx, y(qt) \geq Mx, y(t)$ then $x = y$.

Definition 2.12. Two self mappings S and T of a menger space are said to be commuting if $MSTx, TSxt = 1$ for all $t > 0$ and for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.13. Two self mappings S and T of a menger space are said to be commuting if $MSTx, TSxt \geq MSx, Txt$ for all $t > 0$ and for all $x \in X$.

3 Main Result

We now state our main common fixed point result of two, three and four mappings in menger spaces, as follows. First we consider the common fixed point for two mappings.

Theorem 3.1. Let A and S be two self ovc mappings of a menger space $(X, M, *)$ with $t * t \geq t$ such that for each $x \neq y$ in $X, t > 0$ and for $0 < q < 1$.

$$MAx, Ay(qt) \geq \min\{MSx, Sy(t), MSx, Ay(t), MSy, Ay(t), MAx, Sx(t), MAx, Sy(t)\} \tag{3.1(a)}$$

Then A and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since A and S are ovc so there exist $a \in X$ such that $Aa = Sa$ implies $ASa = SAa$. That is there exist $a \in X$ such that $MAa, Sa(t) = 1$ implies $MASa, SAa(t) = 1$ for $t > 0$. And since $Sa = Aa, SSA = SAa$ and $ASa = AAa$, we have $SSa = SAa = ASa = AAa$.

Now we show that $Aa = Sa$ is common fixed point of A and S . Suppose that $Aa \neq AAa$. Then by 3.1(a)

$$\begin{aligned} MAa, AAa(qt) &\geq \min\{MSa, SAa(t), MSa, AAa(T), MSa, AAa(t), MAa, Sa(t), MAa, SAa(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAa, AAa(t), MAa, AAa(t), MAa, AAa(t), MAa, Aa(t), MAa, AAa(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAa, AAa(t), MAa, AAa(t), 1, 1, MAa, AAa(t)\} \\ MAa, AAa(qt) &\geq MAa, AAa(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2.11 it follows that $Aa = AAa$ and thus $AAa = SAa = Aa$. Hence $Aa = Sa$ is common fixed point of A and S . Finally we show that the fixed point is unique. Let x_0 and y_0 be two common fixed points of A and S . Then $Ax_0 = Sx_0 = x_0$ and $Ay_0 = Sy_0 = y_0$ and by 3.1(a)

$$\begin{aligned} MAx_0, Ay_0(qt) &\geq \min\{MSx_0, Sy_0(t), MSx_0, Ay_0(t), MSy_0, Ay_0(t), MAx_0, Sx_0(t), MAx_0, Sy_0(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAx_0, Ay_0(t), MAx_0, Ay_0(t), MAy_0, Ay_0(t), MAx_0, Ax_0(t), MAx_0, Ay_0(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAx_0, Ay_0(t), MAx_0, Ay_0(t), 1, 1, MAx_0, Ay_0(t)\} \\ MAx_0, Ay_0(qt) &\geq MAx_0, Ay_0(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma (2.11) we have $Ax_0 = Ay_0$, i.e. $x_0 = y_0$. □

Next we consider the common fixed point for three mappings.

Theorem 3.2. *Let A, B and S be three self mappings for a menger space $(X, M, *)$ with $t * t \geq t$ such that for each $x \neq y$ in $X, t > 0$ and for $0 < q < 1$.*

$$MAx, By(qt) \geq \min\{MSx, Sy(t), MSx, By(t), MSy, By(t), MAx, Sx(t), MSy, Ax(t)\} \quad 3.2(a)$$

and pair (A, S) or (B, S) is owc pair. 3.2(b). Then A, B and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since (A, S) is owc pair [from 3.2(b)] Then there is an element $u \in X$ such that $Au = Su$ and $ASu = SAu$. First, we prove that $Au = Bu = Su$. By 3.2(a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} MAu, Bu(qt) &\geq \min\{MSu, Su(t), MSu, Bu(t), MSu, Bu(t), MAu, Su(t), MSu, Au(t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, MAu, Bu(t), MAu, Bu(t), MAu, Au(t), MAu, Au(t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, MAu, Bu(t), MAu, Bu(t), 1, 1\} \\ MAu, Bu(qt) &\geq MAu, Bu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2.11 we have $Au = Bu$ i.e. $Au = Bu = Su$. Thus $ASu = SAu = ABu = SBu = AAu$. Now suppose that $BAu \neq AAu$. Then from 3.2(a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} MAAu, BAu(qt) &\geq \min\{MSAu, SAu(t), MSAu, BAu(t), MSAu, BAu(t), MAAu, SAu(t), MSAu, AAu(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAAu, AAu(t), MAAu, BAu(t), MAAu, BAu(t), MSAu, BAu(t), MAAu, AAu(t), MAAu, AAu(t)\} \\ &= \min\{1, MAAu, AAu(t), MAAu, BAu(t), 1, 1\} \\ MAAu, BAu(qt) &\geq MAAu, BAu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by lemma 2.11 it follows that $AAu = BAu$, and so $AAu = BAu = SAu$. If $AAu \neq Bu$, we have from 3.2(a)

$$\begin{aligned} MAAu, Bu(qt) &\geq \min\{MSAu, Su, (t), MSAu, Bu(t), MSAu, Bu(t), MAAu, SAu(t), MSu, AAu(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAAu, Bu(t), MAAu, Bu(t), MAAu, Bu(t), MAAu, AAu(t), MBu, AAu(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAAu, Bu(t), MAAu, Bu(t), MAAu, Bu(t), 1, MAAu, Bu(t)\} \\ MAAu, Bu(qt) &\geq MAAu, Bu(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2.11 we have $AAu = Bu$, i.e $AAu = Au = Bu = Su$. or $AAu = BAu = SAu = Au = a$ (Let) So, $a = Au$ is common fixed point of mappings A, B and S .

Uniqueness: Now Let x_0, y_0 be two distinct common fixed points of mappings A, B and S . i.e $Ax_0 = Bx_0 = Sx_0 = x_0$ and $Ay_0 = By_0 = Sy_0 = y_0$. So by condition 3.2(a)

$$\begin{aligned} MAx_0, By_0(qt) &\geq \min\{MSx_0, Sy_0(t), MSx_0, By_0(t), MSy_0, By_0(t), MAx_0, Sx_0(t), MSy_0, Ax_0(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAx_0, By_0(t), MAx_0, By_0(t), MBx_0, By_0(t), MAx_0, Ax_0(t), MBx_0, Ax_0(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAx_0, By_0(t), MAx_0, By_0(t), 1, 1, MAx_0, By_0(t)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$MAx_0, By_0(qt) \geq MAx_0, By_0(t).$$

Then by lemma 2.11 we have $x_0 = y_0$. □

Finally, we consider common fixed point for four mappings.

Theorem 3.3. *Let A, B, S and T be four self mappings of a menger space $(X, M, *)$ with $t * t \geq t$ such that for each $x \neq y$ in $X, t > 0$ and for $0 < q < 1$.*

$$MAx, By(qt) \geq \min\{MSx, Ty(t), MSx, By(t), MTy, By(t), MSx, Ax(t), MTy, Ax(t)\} \tag{3.3(a)}$$

and pairs $(A, S), (B, T)$ are owc. 3.3(b). Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Since $(A, S), (B, T)$ is owc pair [from 3.3(b)]. Then there is an element $u, v \in X$ such that $Au = Su$ and $ASu = SAu, Bv = Tv$ and $BTv = TBv$. First, we prove that $Au = Bv$ By 3.3(a) we get

$$\begin{aligned} MAu, Bv(qt) &\geq \min\{MSu, Tv(t), MSu, Bv(t), MTv, Bv(t), MSu, Au(t), MTv, Au(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAu, Bv(t), MAu, Bv(t), MBv, Bv(t), MAu, Au(t), MBv, Au(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAu, Bv(t), MAu, Bv(t), 1, 1, MAu, Bv(t)\} \\ MAu, Bv(qt) &\geq MAu, Bv(t). \end{aligned}$$

Then from lemma 2.11, we have $Au = Su = Bv = Tv$. Now suppose that $AAu \neq Au$. By using 3.3(a) we obtain $Au = Bv$,

$$\begin{aligned} MAAu, Bv(qt) &\geq \min\{MSAu, Tv(t), MSAu, Bv(t), MTv, Bv(t), MSAu, AAu(t), MTv, AAu(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MASu, Bv(t), MASu, Bv(t), MBv, Bv(t), MASu, AAu(t), MBv, AAu(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAAu, Bv(t), MAAu, Bv(t), MBv, Bv(t), MAAu, AAu(t), MBv, AAu(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAAu, Bv(t), MAAu, Bv(t), 1, 1, MAAu, Bv(t)\} \\ MAAu, Bv(qt) &\geq MAAu, Bv(t). \end{aligned}$$

So by lemma (2.11) we have $AAu = Bv$. Since $Au = Bv$, it follows that $AAu = Au = ASu = SAu$. Similarly $BAu = TAv = Au$. Therefore $Au = Su = Bv = Tv$ is a common fixed point of mapping A, B, S and T . Put $Au = Su = Bv = Tv = x$, then $Ax = Sx = Bx = Tx = x$.

Uniqueness: Let x_0 and y_0 are two common fixed points of mapping A, B, S and T such that $x_0 \neq y_0$ then $x_0 = Ax_0 = Sx_0 = Bx_0 = Tx_0$ and $y_0 = Ay_0 = Sy_0 = By_0 = Ty_0$. From condition 3.3(a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} MAx_0, By_0(qt) &\geq \min\{MSx_0, Ty_0(t), MSx_0, By_0(t), MTy_0, By_0(t), MSx_0, Ax_0(t), MTy_0, Ax_0(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAx_0, By_0(t), MAx_0, By_0(t), MBy_0, By_0(t), MAx_0, Ax_0(t), MBy_0, Ax_0(t)\} \\ &= \min\{MAx_0, By_0(t), MAx_0, By_0(t), 1, MAx_0, By_0(t)\} \\ MAx_0, By_0(qt) &\geq \min\{MAx_0, By_0t\} \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2.11. we have $Ax_0 = By_0$ Thus A, B, S and T have unique common fixed point. □

Remark 1: If we put $S = T$ in the statement of theorem of 3.3 then we can get statement of theorem 3.2.

Remark 2: If we put $A = B$ and $S = T$ in the statement of theorem of 3.4 then we can get statement of theorem 3.1.

Remark 3: If we put $A = B$ in the statement of theorem of 3.2 then we can get statement of theorem 3.1.

Thus theorem 3.2 and theorem 3.3 are generalizations of theorem 3.1. The next theorem involves a function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) F is increasing on $[0, 1]$,
- (ii) $F(t) > t$, for any $t \in (0, 1)$ and $F(1) = 1$.

Then we can easily prove these theorems depending upon above three theorems.

Theorem 3.4. *Let A and S be two self ovc mappings of a menger symmetric space $(X, M, *)$ with $t * t \geq t$ such that for each $x \neq, y$ in $X, t > 0$*

- (i) *A function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:*
 - (a) *F is increasing on $[0, 1]$*
 - (b) *$F(t) > t$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $F(1) = 1$.*

and $MAx, Ay(t) > F[\min\{MSx, Sy(t), MSx, Ay(t), MSy, Ay(t), MAx, Sx(t), MAx, Sy(t)\}]$, then A and S have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.5. *Let A, B and S be three self mappings of a menger symmetric space $(X, M, *)$ with $t * t \geq t$ such that for each $x \neq, y$ in $X, t > 0$ and function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) *F is increasing on $[0, 1]$*
- (b) *$F(t) > t$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $F(1) = 1$.*

$$MAx, By(t) > F[\min\{MSx, Sy(t), MSx, By(t), MSy, By(t), MAx, Sx(t), MSy, Ax(t)\}] \quad 3.5(a)$$

and pair (A, S) or (B, S) is ovc pair. Then A, B and S have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.6. *Let A, B, S and T be four self mappings of a menger space $(X, M, *)$ with $t * t \geq t$ such that for each $x \neq, y$ in $X, t > 0$ and function $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (a) *F is increasing on $[0, 1]$*
- (b) *$F(t) > t$, for any $t \in [0, 1]$ and $F(1) = 1$.*

$MAx, By(t) > F[\min\{MSx, Ty(t), MSx, By(t), MTy, By(t), MSx, Ax(t), MTy, Ax(t)\}]$ and pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are ovc. Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

References

- 1 A. George, and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 64 (1994), 395-399.
- 2 Schweizer B, Sklar A: Probabilistic metric spaces, Elsevier, North-Holland, New York (1983) ISBN. 0-444-00666-4.
- 3 G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. 9 (1986), 771-779.
- 4 G. Jungck, P.P. Murthy, Y.J. Cho, Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points, Math. Japan, 38 2 (1993), 381-390.
- 5 G.Jungck, B.E.Rhoades, Fixed points for set value functions without continuity, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29 3 (1998), 227-238.

- 6 H.K. Pathak, and M.S. Khan, Compatible mappings of type (B) and common fixed point theorems of Greg type, Czechoslovak Math. J., 45 (4) (1995), 685-698.
- 7 H.K.Pathak, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang, and B. Madharia, Compatible mappings of type (C) and common fixed point theorem of Gregus type. Demonstratio Math, 31(3) (1998), 499-518.
- 8 I. Karmosil, and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric space, Kybernetica, 11(1975), 326-334.
- 9 Menger K, Statistical metrics, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.), 28(1942), 535-537.
- 10 L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. control, 8 (1965), 338-353.
- 11 M.A. AL-Thagafi, N. Shahzad, Generalized I-nonexpansive self maps and invariant approximations, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 24(5) (2008), 867-876.
- 12 M. Grabiec, Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 27 (1988), 385-389.
- 13 P. Vijayaraju, and M. Marudai, Fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Fuzzy. Math., 8 (4) (2000), 867-871.
- 14 P. Vijayaraju, and Z.M.I. Sajath, Some common Fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis , 6 (15) (2009), 701-710.
- 15 Mishra S. N., Common fixed points of compatible mappings in probabilistic metric spaces, Math. Japan., 36 (1991), 283-289.
- 16 S.N. Mishra, N. Sharma, and S.L. Singh, Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces, Internet. J .Math. and Math. Sci., 17 (1994), 253-258.
- 17 V. M. Sehgal, Bharucha- Reid A T, Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, Math. System theory, 6(1972) 97-102.