

# On generalized common fixed point theorem in complete fuzzy metric spaces

Manda L.L. Panikath<sup>①</sup>, Vengopalam<sup>①</sup>, Vijaya Kumar<sup>①\*</sup>

<sup>①</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sri Vasavi Institute of Engineering and Technology (SVIET), Nandamuru, India

E-mail: greengirl.ias2001@yahoo.com

Received: July-18-2013; Accepted: September-5-2013 \*Corresponding author

**Abstract** In this paper we prove common fixed point theorem for six mappings in fuzzy metric space.

**Key Words** fuzzy contractive mapping, complete fuzzy metric space

**MSC 2010** 20M17, 20N15

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Zadesh was introduced the concept of fuzzy sets in 1965. analysis many authors have expansively developed the theory of fuzzy sets and application. Michalek [6] have introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces induced by fuzzy metric, which have very important application in quantum particle physics. many authors have proved fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** A binary operation  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0; 1]$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm if it satisfies the following conditions

- (1)  $*$  is associative and commutative,
- (2)  $*$  is continuous,
- (3)  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$

(4)  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ ; for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ . Two typical examples of continuous  $t$ -norm are  $a * b = ab$  and  $a * b = \min(a; b)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A 3-tuple  $(X; M, *)$  is called a fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary (non-empty) set,  $*$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm, and  $M$  is a fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ , satisfying the following conditions for each  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ ,

- (1)  $M(x, y, t) > 0$ ,
- (2)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  if and only if  $x = y$ ,
- (3)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
- (4)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ ,
- (5)  $M(x, y, t) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous,

$$(6) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1.$$

**Remark 1.3.** Let  $(X, M, T)$  be fuzzy metric space. for  $t > 0$ , the open ball  $B(x, r, t)$  with center  $x \in X$  and radius  $0 < r < 1$  is defined by  $B(x, r, t) = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) > 1 - r\}$  Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. (ii) Let be the set of all  $AX$  with  $x \in A$  if and only if there exist  $t > 0$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $B(x, r, t)A$ . then  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X$ , This topology is Hausdorff and first countable.

**Definition 1.4.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$

(1) converges to  $x$  if and only if  $M(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $t > 0$ .

(2) It is called a Cauchy sequence if for each  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and  $t > 0$ , there exist  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \epsilon$  for each  $n, m \geq n_0$ .

(3) The fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Definition 1.5.** A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be bounded if there exist  $t > 0$  and  $0 < r < 1$  such that  $M(x, y, t) > 1 - r$  for all  $x, y$  belong to  $A$ .

**Example 1.6.** Let  $X = R$ . Denote  $a * b = ab$  for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ . for each  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , define  $M(x, y, t) = t/(t + |x - y|)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a metric space,  $M$  is said to be continuous of  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  i.e  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, t_n) = M(x, y, t)$  Whenever a sequence  $(x_n, y_n, t_n) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  converges to a point  $(x, y, t) \in X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  i.e

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(y_n, y, t) = 1, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, x, t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1.$$

**Lemma 1.9.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. Then  $M$  is continuous function of  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $A$  and  $P$  be mappings from a fuzzy metric space  $(X; M; *)$  into itself. Then the mappings are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence point.

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $A$  and  $P$  be mappings from a fuzzy metric space  $(X; M; *)$  into itself. Then the mappings are said to be compatible if  $APx_n, PAx_n, t) = 1, t > 0$  Whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is  $d$  a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_n = x \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.12** [10]. Self-mappings  $A$  and  $P$  of a fuzzy metric space  $(x, M, *)$  are compatible. Then they are weak compatible.

**Lemma 1.13.** Let  $(x, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space.

(i) If we define  $E_\mu M(x_1, x_n) \leq E_\mu M(x_1 x_2) + E_\mu M(x_2 x_3) + \dots + E_\mu M(x_{n-1} x_n)$  for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

(ii) the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  is convergent in fuzzy metric space  $(x, M, *)$  if and only if  $E_\mu M(x_1, x) \rightarrow 0$ . Also the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$  is a cauchy sequence if and only if it is cauchy with  $E_\mu M$ .

(iii) If there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$ , such that for every  $n \in N$   $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \geq M(x_0, x_1, k^n t)$  for every  $k > 1$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

## 2 The main results

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A, T, P$ , and  $Q$  be self mappings of a complete fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  satisfying:  $P(X)T(X), Q(X)A(X)$  and  $P(X)$  or  $Q(X)$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [F(Pu, Qv, (kx))]^2 \geq [F(Au, Tv, (x))]^2, F(Au, Pu, (x)). F(Tv, Qv, (x)). F(Au, Tv, (x)). F(Au, Pu, (x)). \\
 & F(Au, Tv, (x)). F(Tv, Qv, (x)). F(Au, Tv, (x)). F(Av, Qu, (x)). F(Au, Tv, (x)). F(Tv, Pu, (x)). \\
 & F(Au, Qu, (x)). F(Tv, Pu, (x)). F(Au, Qv(2x), F(Tv, Pu, (x)) [F(Au, Qv, (2x))]^2 F(Tv, Qv(x)),
 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x, y$  in  $X, k > 1$ . The pairs  $(A, P)$  and  $(Q, T)$  are weak compatible. Then  $A, T, P, Q$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** for any point  $x_0$  in  $X$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$ , such that  $Px_0 = Tx_1$ . For this point  $x_1$ , we can choose a point  $x_2$  in  $X$ , such  $Qx_1 = Ax_2$  and so on, in this manner we can define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $y_{2n} = Px_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+2}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Now we shall prove  $F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx)) \geq F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x))$  for  $x > 0$ , where  $k \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx)) < F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x))$  then by using

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(ii) } F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx)) \leq F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) \text{ we have } [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 \\
 & = [F(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}), (kx)]^2 \\
 & \geq \min\{[F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (kx))]^2 F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) \\
 & F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) \\
 & F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, (2x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, (2x)) \\
 & F(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, (2x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x))\} \\
 & \geq \min\{[F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (kx))]^2 F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) [F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (kx))]^2 F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) \\
 & F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)), t F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) \\
 & F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)), t F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) \\
 & F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) t F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x)) F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x)) F(F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x))\} x_{2n+1}, \\
 & \geq \min\{[F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 \\
 & [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))] [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 \\
 & [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))] [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2 [F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx))]^2\}
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have  $F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (kx)) \geq F(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, (x))$  similarly we can have  $F(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, (kx)) \geq F(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, (x))$ . Therefore, for every  $n \in N, F(y(n), y(n+1), (kx)) \geq F(y(n-1), y(n), (x))$ . There fore it is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . since space  $(X, M, *)$  is complete  $\{y_n\}$  converges to a point  $z$  in  $X$ . and the subsequences  $\{Px_{2n}\}, \{Qx_{2n+1}\}, \{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Tx_{2n+1}\}$  of  $\{y_{2n}\}$  also converges to  $Z$ . Now suppose that  $P$  is continuous, since  $P$  and  $A$  are weak compatible, it follow from  $(APx_{2n}) \rightarrow Pz$ , and  $PPx_{2n} \rightarrow Pz$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now  $u = Px_{2n}$ , and  $v = x_{2n+1}$ , in the equation (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [F(PPx_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}), (kx)]^2 \\
 & \geq \min[F(APx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), (x)]^2 [F(APx_{2n}, PPx_{2n}, (x)) [F(Tx_{2n+1}), Qx_{2n+1}), (x)] \\
 & [F(APx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, (x)) [F(APx_{2n}, PPx_{2n}, (x)) [F(APx_{2n}, Tx(2n, +1), (x)) \\
 & [F(TPx_{2n+1}), Qx_{2n+1}), (x)] [F(APx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), (x)] [F(APx_{2n}, Qx_{2n}, (2x)) \\
 & [F(APx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), (x)] [F(Tx_{2n+1}), PPx_{2n}, (x)] [F(APx_{2n}, Qx(2n, +1), (x)) \\
 & [F(Tx_{2n+1}), PPx_{2n}, (x)) [F(APx_{2n}, PPx_{2n}, (x)) [F(APx_{2n+1}), Qx_{2n}, (x)) [F(APx_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}), (2x)]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[F(Tx_{2n+1}), Qx(2n, +1), (x)].$$

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $[F(Pz, z, (kx))]^2 \geq \min\{[F(Pz, z, (x))]^2 [F(Pz, Pz, (x))]^2 [F(z, z, (x))] [F(Pz, z, (x))] [F(Pz, Pz, (x))] [F(Pz, z, (x))] [F(z, z, (x))] [F(Pz, z, (x))] [F(Pz, z, (2x))] [F(Pz, z, (x))] [F(z, Pz, (x))] [F(z, z, (2x))] [F(z, Pz, (x))] [F(Pz, Pz, (x))] [F(z, Pz, (x))] [F(Pz, z, (2x))] [F(z, z, (x))]\} = [F(Pz, z, (x))]^2$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have  $Pz = z$ , since  $P(x)T(X)$ , there exist appoint  $u$  belong to  $X$  such that  $z = Pz = Tp$ . Again putting  $u = Px_{2n}, v = p$  in (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [F(PPx_{2n}, QPx_{2n+1}), (kx)]^2 \\ & \geq \min[F(APx_{2n}, TP, (x))]^2 [F(APx_{2n}, PPx_{2n}, (x))] [F(Tp, QP, (x))] [F(APx_{2n}, Tp, (x))] \\ & [F(TPx_{2n}, PPx_{2n}, (x))] [F(APx_{2n}, TPx(2n, +1), (x))] \\ & [F(Tp, Qp, (x))] [F(Apx_{2n}, Tp, (x))] [F(APx_{2n}, Tp, (x))] [F(APx_{2n}, Qp, (2x))] [F(APx_{2n}, TP, (x))] \\ & [F(TP, PPx_{2n}, (x))] [F(Apx_{2n}QPx_{2n}, (2x))] \\ & [F(TP, PPx_{2n}, (x))] [F(APx_{2n}, PPx_{2n}, (x))] [F(APx_{2n}, pPx_{2n}, (2x))] [F(Tp, PPx_{2n}, (x))]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $[F(z, Qp, (kx))]^2 \geq [F(z, Qp, (x))]^2$  which is a contradiction , there fore  $z = Qp$ . Since  $Q$  and  $T$  are weak compatible and  $Tp = Qp = Z, TQp = QTp$  and hence  $Tz = TQp = QTp = Qz$ . Again by putting  $u = x_{2n}$  and  $v = z$ , we have  $[F(Px_{2n}, Qz, (kx))]^2 \geq \min\{[F(Ax_{2n}, Tz, (x))]^2 F(Ax_{2n}, Px_{2n}, (X)) F(Tz, Qz, (X)), F(Ax_{2n}, Tz, (x)),$

$$\begin{aligned} & F(Ax_{2n}, Px_{2n}, (x)) F(Ax_{2n}, Tz, (x)) F(Tz, Qz, (x), F(Ax_{2n}, Tz(x)) \\ & F(Ax_{2n}, Qz, (2x)), F(Ax_{2n}, Tz, (x)) F(Tz, Px_{2n}, (x)) F(Ax_{2n}, Qz, (2x)), \end{aligned}$$

$F(Tz, Px_{2n}, (x), F(Ax_{2n}, Px_{2n}, (x)) F(Tz, Px_{2n}, (x)) F(Ax_{2n}, Qz, (2x), F(Tz, Qz, (x)))\}$ . Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $[F(z, Qz, (kx))]^2 \geq [F(z, Q, (x))]^2$  Which is a contraction therefore we have  $Qz = z$ . Thus  $Qz = Tz = z$ , similarly since  $P$  and  $A$  are weak compatible and we have  $Az = Pz = z$ . Now We prove  $Az = z$ . Suppose that  $Az \neq z$  then by putting  $u = Az$  and  $v = z$  in (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [F(PAz, Qz, (kx))]^2 \geq \min\{F(AAz, Tz, (x))]^2 F(AAz, PAz, (x), F(Tz, Qz, (x), F(AAz, Tz, (x)), \\ & F(AAz, PAz, (x), F(AAz, Tz, (x), F(Tz, Qz, (2x), F(AAz, Tz, (x), F(AAz, Qz, (2x)), \\ & F(Tz, PAz, (x)), F(AAz, Qz, (x), F(AAz, Qz, (2x)) F(Tz, PAz, (x), F(AAz, Qz, (2x)) F(Tz, PAz, (x)), \\ & F(AAz, Qz, (2x), F(Tz, Az, (x), ))\} \text{ which yields } [F(Az, z, (kx))]^2 \geq [F(Az, z, (x))]^2 \text{ which is a contra-} \end{aligned}$$

dition there fore we have  $Az = z$ , similarly if we put  $u = z$  and  $y = z$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [F(Pz, Qz, (kx))]^2 \geq \min\{F(Az, Tz, (x))]^2, F(Az, Pz, (x)) F(Tz, Qz, (x)) F(Az, Tz, (x)) \\ & F(Az, Pz, (x)) F(Az, Tz, (x)) F(Tz, Qz, (x)) F(Az, Tz, (x)) F(Az, Qz, (2x)) F(Az, Tz, (x)) F(Tz, Pz, (x)) \\ & F(Az, Qz, (2x)) F(Tz, Pz, (x)), F(Az, Pz, (x)) F(Tz, Pz, (x)) F(Az, Qz, (2x)) F(Tz, Qz, (x))\}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields  $[F(z, z, (kx))]^2 \geq [F(z, z, (x))]^2$  which is contradiction , therefore we we have  $Pz = Qz = Az = Tz = z$ . Thus combining the results . thus  $z$  is a common fixed point AT.P.Q. For uniqueness let  $w(zw)$  be another common fixed point A,B,P,Q, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [F(z, w, (kx))]^2 \geq [F(Pz, QW, (x))]^2 \geq \min\{[F(z, w, (x))]^2 F(z, z, (x)) F(w, w, (x)) \\ & F(z, w, (x)) F(z, z, (x)) F(z, w, (x)) F(w, w, (x)) F(z, w, (x)) F(z, w, (2x)) F(z, w, (x)) \\ & F(w, z, (x)) F(z, w, (2x)) F(w, z, (x)) F(z, z, (x)) F(w, z, (x)) F(z, w, (2x)) F(w, w, (x))\} = [F(z, w, (x))]^2 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, therefore  $z = w$ . hence  $z$  is unique common fixed point  $A, T, P$ , and  $Q$ . If we put  $T = I$  ( $I$  is identity mapping on  $X$ ). □

**References**

---

- 1 El Naschie, MS., On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and two-slit experiment. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 9 (1998), 517-529.
- 2 El Naschie MS., The idealized quantum two-slit gedanken experiment revisited -Criticism and reinterpretation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* 27 (2006), 9-13.
- 3 George, A, Veeramani, P., On some result in fuzzy metric space. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*64 (1994), 395-399.
- 4 Gregori, V, Sapena, A., On xed-point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Syst.* 125 (2002), 245-252.
- 5 Jungck, G., Rhoades B. E., Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 29 no. 3 (1998), 227-238.
- 6 Kramosilk, I., Michalek, J., Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. *Kybernetika* 11 (1975), 326-334.
- 7 Rodrguez Lopez, J., Ramaguera, S., The Hausdor fuzzy metric on compact sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* 147 (2004), 273-283.
- 8 Mihet", D., A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.*144 (2004), 431-439.
- 9 Schweizer, B., Sherwood, H., Tardi RM. Contractions on PM-space examples and counterexamples. *Stochastica* 1 (1988) 1, 5-17.
- 10 Singh, B., and Jain, S., A xed point theorem in Menger space through weak compatibility. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 301 no. 2 (2005), 439-448.
- 11 Zadeh, LA., Fuzzy sets. *Inform and Control* 8, (1965), 338-353.